

Quality: The Basic Tool Kit

Process Analysis 102

- 4 Tools in your Quality Tool Kit
- 1. F
- 2. F
- 3. M
- 4. S

- 4 Tools in your Quality Tool Kit
- 1. Flowchart
- 2. F
- 3. M
- **4**. S



When you want to understand a work process or some part of a process, these tools can help:

- 1. Flowchart: a picture of the separate steps of a process in sequential order
- Failure modes and effects analysis: a step-bystep approach for identifying all possible design failures
- **3. Mistake-proofing:** the use of any automatic device or method that makes it impossible for an error.
- Spaghetti diagram: a visual representation using a continuous flow line tracing the path of an item or activity through a process.

DEAR VARIOUS PARENTS, GRANDPARENTS, CO-WORKERS, AND OTHER "NOT COMPUTER PEOPLE."

WE DON'T MAGICALLY KNOW HOW TO DO EVERYTHING IN EVERY PROGRAM. WHEN WE HELP YOU, WE'RE USUALLY JUST DOING THIS:



PLEASE PRINT THIS FLOWCHART OUT AND TAPE IT NEAR YOUR SCREEN. CONGRATULATIONS; YOU'RE NOW THE LOCAL COMPUTER EXPERT!

- 4 Tools in your Quality Tool Kit
- 1. Flowchart
- 2. Failure Modes and Effects Analysis
- 3. M
- **4**. S

潜在的失效模式及后果分析

(过程 FMEA)

项目名称: 左前门/H8HX-000-A②

过程责任部门:车身工程部/装配部③

车型年/车辆类型:199×/狮牌4门/旅行车⑤

关键日期:9×03 01 9×08 26 工位号1 ⑥

 FMEA 编号:1450
 ①

 页码:第1页
 共1页

 编制:J. 福特-X6521-装配部门④

 FMEA 日期(编制):9×05 17(修订)9×11 06⑦

主要参加人:A. 泰特-车身工程 J. 史密斯-操作控制 R. 詹姆斯-生产部 J. 约翰-维修部⑧

		NH 1. 11.	严重	级	MA	颗	-	不可	风险			措施结果②						
过程功能 要求 ⑨	潜在的 失效模式 ^①	潜在的 失效后果 ①	里程度数	别	潜在的失效 原因/机理 ④	度数的	现行 过程控制 ¹⁰	探測度数	顺序 数 @	建议的 措施 19	贡任及目标 完成日期 20	采取的措施	严重度	频度数	不可探测	风险 顺序数		
			12			9		U	69	8		Q1)	数	蚁	度数	RPN		
车门内部人 工涂蜡	规定表面涂蜡 不足	车门寿命下 降导致:			人工港グ曄子		每小时进行 目测检查,每			给喷蜡器 加装深度 限位器	制造工程 9×1015	增加限位器,在线上 检查喷机	7	2	5	70		
为覆盖车门 内侧,车门下 层表面涂以 最小厚度的		・由于时间 长生锈使顾 客对外观不 满意	7		不够深入	8	班检查一次 喷膜厚度(深 度仪)和范围	5	280	使喷蜡自动化	制造工程 9×1215	由于同一条线上不 同门的复杂程度不 同,因此拒绝该项。		÷				
蜡,以延缓腐 蚀		・车内门附 件功能下降			・・粘度太高・・・・広力太低	5	在开始和停 机后试验喷 雾形状,按照 预防维护程 序清洗喷头	3	105	使 用 试 验 设 计确定粘度、 温度和压力	制造工程 9×1001	确定了温度和压力 限值,安装了限值控 制器,控制图显示流 程受控。 CPK=1.85	7	1	3	21		
					因冲击喷头 变形	2	按预防维护 程序维护喷 头	2	28	无								
		•			喷蜡时间不足	8	按操作规程 进行批量抽 样(每班10 个门),检查 重要部分喷 蜡范围 示例	7	392	安装喷蜡定 时器	维修部门 9×09 15	安装了自动喷蜡定 时器,操作者打开喷 头,定时器控制关 闭,控制图显示流程 受控 CPK=2.05	7	1	7	49		

When you want to understand a work process or some part of a process, these tools can help:

- 1. Flowchart: a picture of the separate steps of a process in sequential order.
- 2. Failure modes and effects analysis: a step-bystep approach for identifying all possible failures in a design, a manufacturing or assembly process
- **3. Mistake-proofing:** the use of any automatic device or method that makes it impossible for an error.
- Spaghetti diagram: a visual representation using a continuous flow line tracing the path of an item or activity through a process.



- 4 Tools in your Quality Tool Kit
- 1. Flowchart
- 2. Failure Modes and Effects Analysis
- 3. Mistake Proofing
- **4**. S



When you want to understand a work process or some part of a process, these tools can help:

- 1. Flowchart: a picture of the separate steps of a process in sequential order.
- 2. Failure modes and effects analysis: a step-bystep approach for identifying all possible design failures
- 3. **Mistake proofing:** the use of any automatic device or method that makes it impossible for an error
- Spaghetti diagram: a visual representation using a continuous flow line tracing the path of an item or activity through a process.



4 Tools in your Quality Tool Kit

- 1. Flowchart
- 2. Failure Modes and Effects Analysis
- 3. Mistake Proofing
- 4. Spaghetti Diagram



When you want to understand a work process or some part of a process, these tools can help:

- 1. Flowchart: a picture of the separate steps of a process in sequential order.
- 2. Failure modes and effects analysis: a step-bystep approach for identifying all possible design failures
- **3. Mistake-proofing**: the use of any automatic device or method that makes it impossible for an error.
- 4. **Spaghetti diagram**: a visual representation using a continuous flow line tracing the process path



Flowchart

Elements that may be included:

- sequence of actions
- materials or services entering or leaving the process (inputs and outputs)
- decisions that must be made
- people who become involved
- time involved at each step
- process measurements





Flowchart

- Flow charts are simple diagrams
- To draw a flowchart: brainstorm the tasks and decisions
- Then map these out in flow chart format
- Finally, challenge your flow chart

Failure Modes and Effects Analysis

FMEA House of Quality

FMEA

- A step-by-step approach for identifying all possible failures
 - Design, manufacturing or assembly process or product or service
- Failure modes = what could go wrong
- Effects analysis = how would it happen, how likely is it to go wrong and how bad would it be?



	Refrigerator Product	t Pl	an	ni	ng	g N	lat	trix	x				~	\checkmark	Ś			\geq	\geq																
	Interactions:		_	×																															
		Goal	\uparrow	\downarrow	\downarrow	↓ 、	1	, ↓	. ↓	\downarrow	\uparrow	\uparrow	\downarrow	1 ↓	, <u> </u> 1	`↑	`↑	\uparrow	0	↑	\downarrow	↓ .	l c	↓↓	\uparrow	\downarrow	\uparrow	\uparrow	\downarrow	↑					
	Customer Needs	Priority	Compressor energy efficiency rating	Insulation efficiency	Noise measurement-front	Refrig. temp. range (on/off cycle)	Refrint confine sneed (from 30°C to 5°C)	Freezer temp. range (on/off cycle)	Freezer temperature variation	Freezer cooling speed (30°C to -15°C)	Volume efficiency (total/usable)	% Shelf & tray area adjustable	Urawer/shelf pull torce	# of visibility teatures Dis-assv & re-assv time for cleaning	% of features rated easy to clean	Freezer width	Refrigerator shelf depth & width	Freezer shelf height	Door tray depth	Warranty period (years)	Water filter replacement time & cost	10 year service contract cost	Refrigerator depth	Stainless & trim panel option prices	Focus group rating - appearance	Water temperature	Water filter indicator & life	Ice produced daily	Manufacturing cost	No. of adjustable temp. drawers	Competitive Evaluation (1-Low, 5- High) 1 3 5	Sales Points	Improvement Goal	Improvement Factor	Relative Development Effort
5	Low energy consumption	4	5	5	_	+	+	_				\square			_	_	_			_	_		+	-					_		U MGF A W	1.0	3	1.1	
erat	Quiet				5	_	+	+			_	-+			_	_				_	\rightarrow		+		-		_	-+	_	_	MFAWG U	1.0	5	1.0	
g	Maintains temperature	3	$\left \right $	1		3 3	3 3	13	3	3		-+			_	_				_	-+		+		-			_		_	FAjmwa U	1.0	5	1.0	
<u> </u>	Preserves tood & treshness	3	+	1		5 (5 5	15	15	5	_	-+	_		_	+_	1			_	-+	_	+		-			_	_	5	MFAWG U	1.2	5	1.0	빌
	Maximize storage space	5	+	3	\rightarrow	_	+	_			5	_+				3	13	3		_	\rightarrow			_	-			_	_	_	U MG FA W	11.1	5	1.4	4
age	Hexibility for changing storage needs	3	$\left \right $			_	+	_			3	5	1	- 3			+			_	_		+		-			_		3	A U WGF M	1.0	3	1.1	
ĝ	Easy access & visibility	4	+				+	_	_		_	1	5	<u>5 3</u>	-	1	1	1		_	-+		-	\square	_			_	-+	_	U FAWG M	1.0	3	1.2	
Ń	Easy to clean	2									_	_		5	5																MWGFA U	1.0	5	1.0	
	IHandles large containers & items	4									3 I	31				15	1.5	151	I 5 I					1	I			- 1			II wowiME	11 N	1.3	112	- 1 L

Why do FMEA?

- 1. When preventing problems is cheaper and easier than cleaning them up
- 2. Some things are too risky or costly to incur mistakes

					PROC	ES	SS FMEA					FMEA Number	: SY	827	-01	L	
	1		7220									Page No.	: 3 -	5			
Part Name		· · · · ·				Pr	ocess Responsibili	ty : Production				Prepared By	:Mr.I	Mut	hus	sam	1y
Part No	<u> </u>					Ke	y Date	:				FMEA Date (Ori	g) : 18	3/0	4/0)8	
Core Tean	1 : S. Mu	thuswamy, G	Jay	ave	l, K. Saravanan, S	.Ch	andrasekaran,					Rev.No&	:00				
Process Function	Potential Failure Mode	Potential Efect(s) of Failure	S e v	C l a s s	Potential Cause(s)/ Mechanism (s)of Failure	O c u r	Current Process Control Detection	Current Process Control Detection	e t c t	R P N	Recomended Actions	Responsibility & Target Completion Date	Action Taken	on S e v	Res O c c	ult D e t	R P N
Drilling	Hole dia over size/ under size	Fitment problem at customer place	8		Drill bit wornout	2	Tool life monitoring based onlast off approval	First off inspection & patrol inspection	4	68							
	Dimension variation variation variation problem in successive operations	8 Location problem			2	Tool life monitoring based on last off approval	First off inspection & patrol inspection	4	68								
	Burr on the component	Customer dissatisfaction cause injurty to operator	8		Drill bit worn out	2	Tool life monitoring based on last off approval	inspection & patrol inspection	4	68							
Co2 Welding	Improper weld penetration Dimension variation	Part failure in usage fitment problen at customer place	8]] i	Process parameter Not maintained mproper clamping	2	Control system of process parameters Work instruction	Weld Penetration test weekly twice Periodic inspection in every 2 hrs	6	96 63							

When to do FMEA?

- 1. Before there's a problem
- 2. During a project's lifespan
- 3. After there's a problem, so it doesn't happen again

Failure modes and effects analysis

- How to do FMEA
 - 1. Gather your smart people together
 - 2. Represent every area where a problem could occur
 - 3. Use a worksheet to record the information

Mistake-proofing

Poka-yoke Fail safe

The use of any automatic device or method that either makes it impossible for an error to occur or makes the error immediately obvious once it has occurred.





Eliminate the process step 3. Facilitate the correct action
 Replace the step
 If not, make detection easy



When to do mistake proofing?

- 1. Where human error exists 2. At a handoff
 - 3. When an error is costly



1. Self inspection 2. Source inspection 3. At the next step

Mistake-proofing

Make errors impossible

- Elimination seeks to eliminate the possibility of error
- Replacement substitutes a more reliable process
- Prevention engineers the product or process
- Facilitation makes work easier to perform
- Detection involves identifying an error
- Mitigation seeks to minimize the effects of errors

Healthcare example



A spaghetti diagram is a visual representation using a continuous flow line tracing the path of an item or activity through a process.

The continuous flow line enables process teams to identify redundancies in the work flow and opportunities to expedite process flow.







10 feet





PA Tool	Definition
Flowchart:	a picture of the separate steps of a process in sequential order.
Failure modes and effects analysis:	a step-by-step approach for identifying all possible failures in a design, a manufacturing or assembly process, or a product or service.
Mistake-proofing:	the use of any automatic device or method that makes it impossible for an error to occur.
Spaghetti diagram:	a visual representation using a continuous flow line tracing the path of an item or activity through a process.

Quality: The Basic Tool Kit

Process Analysis 102



Allen K. Pratt, MBA, CIRM Hawkeye Consulting Advisors, Ltd. www.HawkeyeConsultingAdvisors.com